arXiv:1207.2163v1 [hep-th] 9 Jul 2012

YITP-12-55

On Supersymmetric Gauge Theories on $S^4 \times S^1$

Seiji Terashima*

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Abstract

We construct supersymmetric gauge theory on $S^4 \times S^1$. We find a consistent SUSY transformations which reduced to the 4d $N = 2$ SUSY transformation studied by Pestun by the dimensional reduction on S^1. We find there is no analog of the usual Yang-Mills action except in the 4D limit. We also apply the localization technique to the partition function of the theories.

---

*terasima(at)yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 SUSY transformations **2**

2.1 Killing Spinor 2

2.2 SUSY transformations 4

3 SUSY invariant action **6**

4 Localization **8**

5 Conclusion **13**

A Formula for bilinears of Killing spinors **14**

1 Introduction

The supersymmetric gauge theories on (Euclidean) curved spaces have been investigated very intensively recently. Main motivation for these is the exact computations of the partition function and some BPS operators. These can be done by the localization technique in field theory developed by [1] [2]. The work of [2], where the $N = 2$ gauge theory on S^4 was considered, have been generalized to other geometry [3]-[20]. One of the interesting applications of these is the computation of the $N^{\frac{3}{2}}$ scaling of the partition function of the ABJM model [21].

Especially, the applications of the localization technique to the 5D gauge theory on curved space will be important for studying the still mysterious M5-branes. Indeed, in the paper [13] the 5d Supersymmetric gauge theories on S^5 was constructed and some interesting results have bee obtained for the theories [16, 17]. Since compactifications of the M5-branes give varieties of lower dimensional interesting theories, it will be important to extend the construction of the SUSY gauge theory on S^5 to other spaces for studying the M5-branes.

In this paper, we construct supersymmetric gauge theory on $S^4 \times S^1$. We find a consistent SUSY transformations which reduced to the 4d $N = 2$ SUSY transformation studied by Pestun [2] by the dimensional reduction on S^1. We find there is no analogue of the usual Yang-Mills action which does not contain Lorentz violating constant, except in the 4D limit. It should be noted that we can not use the off-shell 5D supergravity [23] to construct a SUSY transformation and actions following [22] because there does not exist a field in the supergravity corresponding to the background field which appears in the Killing spinor equation. Thus we should think the theory has infinite coupling constant. We apply the localization technique to the partition function of the theory on $S^4 \times S^1$ following [2] [20] and find the result is a simple extension of the corresponding partition function on S^4 with the contributions from Kaluza-Klein modes.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In section 2 we construct the Killing spinor and the consistent SUSY transformations of the vectormultiplets and hypermultiplets for the theory on $S^4 \times S^1$ In section 3, we see that a SUSY invariant action for the vectormultiplets on $S^4 \times S^1$ is difficult to construct. We can construct it only in the 4D limit by the dimensional reduction. In section 4, we apply the localization technique to the partition function of the theory on $S^4 \times S^1$ and find the result is a simple extension of the corresponding partition function on S^4. We conclude with a short discussion in section 5.

2 SUSY transformations

In this section we will try to construct consistent SUSY transformations on $S^4 \times S^1$ by taking a simple ansats on the Killing spinor.

2.1 Killing Spinor

We will construct SUSY gauge theories on $S^4 \times S^1$ following [13]. We will use the notations as used in [13] for the theory on S^5. The indices $m, n, \cdots$ runs from 1 to 5, on the other hand, $\mu, \nu, \cdots$ runs from 1 to 4. As in [13], we assume the following Killing Spinor equation:

$$\nabla_m \xi_I = \Gamma_m \tilde{\xi}_I, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\mu &= D_\mu, \\ \nabla_5 &= D_5 + t_I^{\ J}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We further assume

$$\partial_5 \xi_I = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

The metric of $S^4 \times S^1$ is

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{S^4 \times S^1} &= (dx^5)^2 + ds^2_{S^4}, \\ ds^2_{S^4} &= \ell^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta ds^2_{S^3}) \ = \ \frac{dr^2 + r^2 ds^2_{S^3}}{(1+\frac{r^2}{4\ell^2})^2} \ = \ \frac{\sum dx_n^2}{(1+\frac{r^2}{4\ell^2})^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $r^2 = \sum_{n=1}^4 (x^n)^2$ and $e^a = f\delta^a_n dx^n$ and $f = (1+\frac{r^2}{4\ell^2})^{-1}$. Here x^5 is a coordinate of S^1 with radius R, thus there is an identification $x^5 \sim x^5 + 2\pi R$.

First, $D_\mu \xi_I = \Gamma_\mu \tilde{\xi}_I$ is solved [2] if we take

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_I &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}}\left(\epsilon_I + \frac{x^i\Gamma_i}{2l}\epsilon'_I\right), \\ \tilde{\xi}_I &= \frac{1}{2l\sqrt{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}}\left(\epsilon'_I - \frac{x^i\Gamma_i}{2l}\epsilon_I\right), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $i, j = 1, \ldots, 4$ which are 4D flat indices and ϵ, ϵ' are constants. For the S^1 direction, the condition $\nabla_5 \xi_i = t_I{}^J \xi_J = \Gamma_5 \tilde{\xi}_I$ is written as

$$\tilde{\xi}_I = t_I{}^J \Gamma_5 \xi_I. \tag{2.6}$$

Assuming

$$t\Gamma_i = \pm \Gamma t, \tag{2.7}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t\epsilon)_I &= \frac{1}{2l}\Gamma_5 \epsilon'_I \\ (t\epsilon')_I &= \pm\frac{1}{2l}\Gamma_5 \epsilon_I, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

which implies

$$(t^2)_I{}^J = \pm \frac{1}{4l^2}\,\delta_I{}^J, \tag{2.9}$$

where we assume $[t, \Gamma_5] = 0$. We have

$$\xi_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}}\left(\epsilon_I + x^i \Gamma_i \Gamma_5 t_I{}^J \epsilon_J\right). \tag{2.10}$$

We will later see that the scaling transformation which enters in the SUSY algebra is $\rho \sim \epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I \tilde{\eta}_j - \epsilon^{IJ}\eta_I \tilde{\xi}_j = \xi_I t^{IJ}\Gamma_5 \eta_j - \eta_I t^{IJ}\Gamma_5 \xi_j$ which should vanish for a non-scale invariant theory. For $t_I^I = 0$ which means t^{IJ} is a symmetric tensor for $SU(2)_R$, we find $\rho = 0$.

Therefore, we essentially fix t modulo the $SU(2)_R$ transformation:[2]

$$t_I{}^J = \frac{1}{2l}(\sigma_3)_I{}^J, \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 = &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}}\left(1+\frac{x^i\Gamma_i}{2l}\Gamma_5\right)\psi_1, \\ \xi_2 = &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}}\left(1-\frac{x^i\Gamma_i}{2l}\Gamma_5\right)\psi_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

This would corresponds to a $SU(2)_R$ gauge field background in the supergravity, however, there is no term $\Gamma_m\tilde{\xi}_I = t_I^J\Gamma_m\Gamma_5$ in the SUSY transformation of the gravitino of [23]. Thus we can not use [23] to construct the SUSY invariant action.

2.2 SUSY transformations

Now we will construct the SUSY transformations on $S^4 \times S^1$ of the vector multiplets. First, following [13] we assume that the SUSY variation of fields on $S^4 \times S^1$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi A_m &= i\epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I\Gamma_m\lambda_J \quad , \\ \delta_\xi \sigma &= i\epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I\lambda_J \quad , \\ \delta_\xi \lambda_I &= -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{mn}\xi_I F_{mn} + \Gamma^m\xi_I D_m\sigma + \xi_J D_{KI}\epsilon^{JK} + 2\tilde{\xi}_I\sigma \quad , \\ \delta_\xi D_{IJ} &= -i(\xi_I\Gamma^m\nabla_m\lambda_J + \xi_J\Gamma^m\nabla_m\lambda_I) + [\sigma, \xi_I\lambda_J + \xi_J\lambda_I] + i(\tilde{\xi}_I\lambda_J + \tilde{\xi}_J\lambda_I) \\ &= -i(\xi_I\Gamma^m D_m\lambda_J + \xi_J\Gamma^m D_m\lambda_I) + [\sigma, \xi_I\lambda_J + \xi_J\lambda_I] + 2i\xi_K t_{IJ}\Gamma_5\lambda^K, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where we have used

$$s_I{}^K t_K{}^J + t_I{}^K s_K{}^J = -s^{KL}t_{KL}\delta_I{}^J, \tag{2.16}$$

---

[2] There is another choice:

$$t = i\frac{1}{2l}\sigma_3\Gamma_5. \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 = &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}}\left(1+i\frac{x^i\Gamma_i}{2l}\right)\psi_1, \\ \xi_2 = &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}}\left(1-i\frac{x^i\Gamma_i}{2l}\right)\psi_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

However, in this choice we find that it is difficult to construct a consistent SUSY transformation related to this. Thus, in this paper, we forget this possibility.

which is valid for an arbitrary symmetric tensor s_{IJ}.

Using

$$\Gamma^m\nabla_m\tilde{\xi}_I = \Gamma^m t_I{}^J\Gamma_5\Gamma_m\tilde{\xi}_J = -\frac{3}{4l^2}\xi_I, \tag{2.17}$$

and performing some computations, we can show that the commutator of two SUSY is a sum of translation (v^m), gauge transformation ($\gamma + iv^m A_m$), dilation (ρ), R-rotation (R_{IJ}) and Lorentz rotation (Θ^{ab}):

$$\begin{aligned}
[\delta_\xi,\delta_\eta]A_m &= -iv^nF_{nm} + D_m\gamma \quad , \\
[\delta_\xi,\delta_\eta]\sigma &= -iv^nD_n\sigma + \rho\sigma \quad , \\
[\delta_\xi,\delta_\eta]\lambda_I &= -iv^n\nabla_n\lambda_I + i[\gamma,\lambda_I] + \frac{3}{2}\rho\lambda_I + R'_I{}^J\lambda_J + \frac{1}{4}\Theta^{ab}\Gamma^{ab}\lambda \\
&= -iv^nD_n\lambda_I + i[\gamma,\lambda_I] + \frac{3}{2}\rho\lambda_I + R_I{}^J\lambda_J + \frac{1}{4}\Theta^{ab}\Gamma^{ab}\lambda \quad , \\
[\delta_\xi,\delta_\eta]D_{IJ} &= -iv^n\nabla_nD_{IJ} + i[\gamma,D_{IJ}] + 2\rho D_{IJ} + R'_I{}^KD_{KJ} + R'_J{}^KD_{IK} \\
&= -iv^nD_nD_{IJ} + i[\gamma,D_{IJ}] + 2\rho D_{IJ} + R_I{}^KD_{KJ} + R_J{}^KD_{IK} \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Here $R_I{}^J = \epsilon^{JK}R_{IK}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
v^m &= 2\epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I\Gamma^m\eta_J \quad , \\
\gamma &= -2i\epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I\eta_J\sigma \quad , \\
\rho &= -2i\epsilon^{IJ}(\xi_I\tilde{\eta}_J - \eta_I\tilde{\xi}_J) = 0 \quad , \\
R_{IJ} &= -3i(\xi_I\tilde{\eta}_J + \xi_J\tilde{\eta}_I - \eta_I\tilde{\xi}_J - \eta_J\tilde{\xi}_I) - 2i\epsilon^{KL}\xi_K\Gamma_5t_{IJ}\eta_L \\
&= 4i\epsilon^{KL}\xi_K\Gamma_5t_{IJ}\eta_L \quad , \\
\Theta^{ab} &= -2i\epsilon^{IJ}(\tilde{\xi}_I\Gamma^{ab}\eta_J - \tilde{\eta}_I\Gamma^{ab}\xi_J) \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Here, $R_{IJ} = R_{JI}$ and $R_{11} = R_{22} = 0$ implies $R_{IJ} \sim t_{IJ}$. Therefore, the SUSY transformation which is inferred from the result of [13] indeed is consistent off-shell.

For the hypermultiplets, we also assume SUSY transformation of the form [13]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta q_I &= -2i\xi_I\psi, \\
\delta\psi &= \epsilon^{IJ}\Gamma^m\xi_I\nabla_mq_J + i\epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I\sigma q_J + 3\epsilon^{IJ}\tilde{\xi}_Iq_J + \epsilon^{I'J'}\check{\xi}_{I'}F_{J'} \\
&= \epsilon^{IJ}\Gamma^m\xi_ID_mq_J + i\epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I\sigma q_J + 2\epsilon^{IJ}\tilde{\xi}_Iq_J + \epsilon^{I'J'}\check{\xi}_{I'}F_{J'}, \\
\delta F_{I'} &= 2\check{\xi}_{I'}(i\Gamma^mD_m\psi + \sigma\psi + \epsilon^{KL}\lambda_Kq_L).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

The square of δ is

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta^2q_I &= -iv^mD_mq_I + i\gamma q_I + R_I{}^Jq_J \\
\delta^2\psi &= -iv^mD_m\psi + i\gamma\psi + \frac{1}{4}\Theta^{ab}\Gamma^{ab}\psi \\
\delta^2F_{I'} &= -iv^mD_mF_{I'} + i\gamma F_{I'} + R'_{I'}{}^{J'}F_{J'} \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
v^m &= \epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I\Gamma^m\xi_J \quad , \\
\gamma &= -i\epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I\xi_J\sigma \quad , \\
R_{IJ} &= 2i(\epsilon^{KL}\xi_K\Gamma^5 t_{IJ}\xi_L) \quad , \\
\Theta^{ab} &= -2i\epsilon^{IJ}\tilde{\xi}_I\Gamma^{ab}\xi_J \quad , \\
R'_{I'J'} &= -2i\check{\xi}_{I'}\Gamma^m D_m\check{\xi}_{J'} \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

which is consistent with the one for the vectormultiplets. Therefore, the SUSY transformation for the hypermultiplets is consistent.

3 SUSY invariant action

In this section, we will try to construct SUSY invariant action. We will see that our SUSY transformation corresponds to the one of [2] by the dimensional reduction of S^1. We will drop the total divergent terms below for the notational convenience.

Now we will try to construct a SUSY invariant action for vectormultiplets on $S^4 \times S^1$. We can show

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_\xi\left(\frac{1}{2}F_{mn}F^{mn} - D_m\sigma D^m\sigma - \frac{1}{2}D_{IJ}D^{IJ} + i\lambda_I\Gamma^m\nabla_m\lambda^I - \lambda_I[\sigma,\lambda^I] - i\lambda_I\tilde{\lambda}^I\right) \\
= \;& i\lambda_I[\Gamma_5 t^{IJ},\Gamma^{mn}]\xi_J F_{mn} - 2iD_m\sigma(\xi_I\{\Gamma^5 t^{IJ},\Gamma^m\}\lambda_J - 2iD^{IJ}(\xi_K\Gamma_5 t_{IJ}\lambda^K) \\
&+2i\xi_I\lambda^I\sigma\mathrm{Tr}_2(t^2) - 4i\xi_K\Gamma_5 t^K_J\Gamma_m\Gamma_5 t^J_I\Gamma_m\lambda^I\sigma + (\text{total divergence}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

and then we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta_\xi\Bigg(\frac{1}{2}F^2 - (D\sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{2}D_{IJ}D^{IJ} + i\lambda_I\Gamma^m D_m\lambda^I - \lambda_I[\sigma,\lambda^I] \\
&\qquad +2A_5 t^{IJ}D_{IJ} - t_{IJ}t^{IJ}(6(A_5)^2 - 4\sigma^2)\Big) \\
&= 4it^{IJ}\left((\xi_I\Gamma^m\lambda_J)\partial_5 A_m - (\xi_I\lambda_J)\partial_5\sigma\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

This action is not gauge invariant nor SUSY invariant. However, if we dimensional reduce to S^4 by taking $R \to 0$, both problems disappear. Thus, in this limit to the theory on S^4, we find the invariant action as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{vector}_{S^4} &= \frac{1}{2}F_{mn}F^{mn} - D_m\sigma D^m\sigma + i\lambda_I\Gamma^m D_m\lambda^I - \lambda_I[\sigma,\lambda^I] \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}(D_{IJ} - 2A_5 t_{IJ})(D^{IJ} - 2A_5 t^{IJ}) - 4t_{IJ}t^{IJ}\left((A_5)^2 - \sigma^2)\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\partial_5 = 0$, which is the usual SUSY Yang-Mills Lagrangian of the vector multiplet used in [2]. Note that the mass terms for the scalars $(A_5, i\sigma)$ are same and the auxiliary field

$$D'_{IJ} \equiv D_{IJ} - 2A_5 t_{IJ} \tag{3.4}$$

transforms as

$$\delta_\xi D'_{IJ} \;=\; -i(\xi_I \Gamma^m D_m \lambda_J + \xi_J \Gamma^m D_m \lambda_I) + [\sigma, \xi_I \lambda_J + \xi_J \lambda_I], \qquad (3.5)$$

which is same as the one for R^4 and

$$\delta_\xi \lambda_I \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{mn}\xi_I F_{mn} + \Gamma^m \xi_I D_m \sigma + \xi_J D'_{KI}\epsilon^{JK} + 2\left(\tilde{\xi}_I \sigma - \Gamma_5 \tilde{\xi}_I A_5\right) \;. \qquad (3.6)$$

This is consistent with the one in [2].

For $S^4 \times S^1$, we can construct a Yang-Mills action on $S^4 \times S^1$ which is invariant under a SUSY generator, but it is the SUSY exact action which will be used as a localization computation and it is depend on constant tensors. It is difficult to construct the SYM action on $S^4 \times S^1$ which reduces to the standard SUSY YM action on S^4 by the dimensional reduction. This is partly because the dimensional reduction gives a massless scalar in the vector multiplet, but there is the mass term for all the scalar fields in the SYM action on S^4 by the conformal mapping from R^4. To resolve this, we probably need to modify the anzats for the Killing spinor although we will not try this in the paper. We can instead think that the theory has infinite gauge coupling constant although this would cause some divergence even for the BPS protected properties.

Now we will consider the hypermultiplets. By explicit computations, we find that the following one is a SUSY invariant Lagrangian on $S^4 \times S^1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{hyper} \;=\; & \epsilon^{IJ}(D_m \bar{q}_I D^m q_J - \bar{q}_I \sigma^2 q_J) - 2(i\bar{\psi}\Gamma^m D_m \psi + \bar{\psi}\sigma\psi) \\ & -i\bar{q}_I D^{IJ} q_J - 4\epsilon^{IJ}\bar{\psi}\lambda_I q_J - \epsilon^{I'J'}\bar{F}_{I'}F_{J'} \\ & -2t^{IJ}\bar{q}_I D_5 q_J - 8t^{KL}t_{KL}\epsilon^{IJ}\bar{q}_I q_J \;. \end{aligned} \qquad (3.7)$$

Taking the 4D limit, $R \to 0$, we have the SUSY invariant Lagrangian on S^4:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{hyper}_{S^4} \;=\; & \epsilon^{IJ}(D_\mu \bar{q}_I D^\mu q_J + \bar{q}_I (A_5)^2 q_J - \bar{q}_I \sigma^2 q_J) - 2(i\bar{\psi}\Gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi + \bar{\psi}\Gamma_5 A_5 \psi + \bar{\psi}\sigma\psi) \\ & -i\bar{q}_I D'^{IJ} q_J - 4\epsilon^{IJ}\bar{\psi}\lambda_I q_J - \epsilon^{I'J'}\bar{F}_{I'}F_{J'} \\ & -8t^{KL}t_{KL}\epsilon^{IJ}\bar{q}_I q_J \;. \end{aligned} \qquad (3.8)$$

This Lagrangian and the SUSY transformation are different from the ones of [2] where the action and the SUSY transformation contain terms quartic and quadratic of the scalars in the hypermultiplets, respectively. The Lagrangian (3.8) will correspond to the round sphere limit of the Lagrangian in [20]. The hypermutiplet Lagrangian in [2] is expected to be related to ours by a field redefinition.

A mass term for the hypermultiplets is also introduced by giving a VEV to the vectormultiplets which does not break the SUSY. Here, we can take $\langle A_5 \rangle = m, \sigma = 0$ and $\langle D_{IJ} \rangle = 0$ in the Lagrangian (3.7) or (3.8). Then, a collection of the m dependent terms is the mass term.

4 Localization

In this section, we apply the localization technique to the theory on $S^4 \times S^1$ following[2]. We take ξ_I as Grassmann-even spinor such that δ_ξ is the fermionic transformation.

First, we will compute the bilinear of the Killing spinors which will be used for the localization technique. For

$$t_I{}^J = \frac{1}{2l}(\sigma_3)_I{}^J, \tag{4.1}$$

the explicit form of the Killing spinor is

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}}\left(1 + \frac{x^i\Gamma_i}{2l}\Gamma_5\right)\psi_1, \\
\xi_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}}\left(1 - \frac{x^i\Gamma_i}{2l}\Gamma_5\right)\psi_2, \\
\tilde{\xi}_1 &= \frac{1}{2l}\Gamma_5\xi_1, \quad \tilde{\xi}_2 = -\frac{1}{2l}\Gamma_5\xi_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where ψ_1, ψ_2 are constant spinors. Note that we can not impose the $SU(2)$ majorana condition $\xi_I^\dagger = \epsilon^{JI}\xi_J^T C$ for this. Instead, we can impose a "twisted" $SU(2)$ majorana condition

$$\xi_I^\dagger = \epsilon^{JI}\xi_J^T C\Gamma_5, \tag{4.3}$$

by imposing $\psi_I^\dagger = \epsilon^{JI}\psi_J^T C\Gamma_5$.

Now we regard ξ_I as Grassmann even spinors and will compute

$$\begin{aligned}
s &\equiv \epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I\xi_J, \\
v^m &\equiv \epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I\Gamma^m\xi_J, \\
w^{mn}_{IJ} &\equiv \xi_I\Gamma^{mn}\xi_J,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

which appear in $(\delta_\xi)^2$. We can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_m s &= 2t^{IK}w_{m5\,IK}, \\
D_m v_n &= 2t^{IK}\xi_I\Gamma_{nm5}\xi_K = \epsilon_{mn\mu\nu5}w^{\mu\nu}_{IK}t^{IK}, \\
D_n w_{ml\,IJ} &= = -t_{IJ}\left(\epsilon_{nml\mu5}v^\mu + s(\delta_{5l}g_{nm} - \delta_{5m}g_{nl})\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

These implies that $\partial_5 s = \partial_5 v_m = \partial_m v_5 = 0$ and

$$D_m v_n + D_n v_m = 0, \tag{4.6}$$

i.e. v^m is a Killing vector of $S^4 \times S^1$.

There are choices for the constant spinors ψ_I. In this paper we choose

$$\Gamma_5\psi_2 = \psi_2, \quad \gamma^{12}\psi_2 = \gamma^{34}\psi_2 = i\psi_2, \tag{4.7}$$

because this corresponds to the Killing spinor used in S^4 case [2] as we will see later. Other choices are physically different from this and interesting to be studied although we will concentrate this choice in the paper. We also normalize the ψ_i as

$$2\psi_1^T C\psi_2 = 1, \tag{4.8}$$

for the convenience. Note that this choice is consistent with the twisted $SU(2)$ majorana condition. Then, we obtain explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} s &= 2\frac{1}{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}\psi_1^T C(1+\Gamma_5\frac{x^i\Gamma^i}{2l})(1-\frac{x^i\Gamma^i}{2l}\Gamma_5)\psi_2 = \frac{1-\frac{r^2}{4l^2}}{1+\frac{r^2}{4l^2}} = \cos\theta \\ v^5 &= 1 \\ v^\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} &= i\frac{1}{l}\left(x^1\partial_2 - x^2\partial_1 + x^3\partial_4 - x^4\partial_3\right) \\ &= i\frac{1}{l}\left(Y_1\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_2} - Y_2\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_1} + Y_3\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_4} - Y_4\frac{\partial}{\partial Y_3}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Note that v^μ is pure imaginary, but $v^5 = 1$. Of course, we can multiply a phase factor to the ψ_I. Then, the majorana condition also has an extra phase and all bilinear of the Killing spinors are multiplied by a same phase factor. This can make v_μ real.

Let us first concentrate on the vector multiplets. We take the regulator Lagrangian as $\delta_\xi V$ where $V = \mathrm{tr}\big[(\delta_\xi\lambda)^\dagger\lambda\big]$. Here we recall

$$\delta_\xi\lambda_I = -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^{mn}\xi_I F_{mn} + \Gamma^m\xi_I D_m\sigma + \xi_J D_I{}^J + 2\tilde{\xi}_I\sigma \quad . \tag{4.10}$$

and we will take $\sigma^\dagger = -\sigma$ and $D^\dagger = D$ in the path-integral as in [13]. We define

$$\delta_\xi\lambda_I^\dagger \equiv \frac{1}{2}\xi_I^\dagger\Gamma^{mn}F_{mn} - \xi_I^\dagger\Gamma^m D_m\sigma + \xi_J^\dagger D_J{}^I - 2\;\xi_K^\dagger\Gamma_5 t_K^I\sigma \quad , \tag{4.11}$$

where we take ξ_I is a twisted $SU(2)$ majorana spinor in order for manifest positive definiteness and the δ_ξ-closed condition of the added term. Note that path-integral contour should be $\sigma^\dagger = -\sigma$ and $D_{IJ}^\dagger = -D^{IJ}$.

Now we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi\lambda_I^\dagger\;\delta_\xi\lambda_I|_{\mathrm{bos}} &= \frac{1}{2}F_{mn}F^{mn} - D_m\sigma D^m\sigma - \frac{1}{2}D_{IJ}D^{IJ} + 2t_{IJ}t^{IJ}\sigma^2 \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{4}s\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(D_\rho v_\sigma)F_{\mu\nu}\sigma \\ &= F_{\mu 5}F^{\mu 5} + \frac{1+s}{2}\left(F_- + \frac{\sigma}{1+s}(dv)_-\right)^2 + \frac{1-s}{2}\left(F_+ + \frac{\sigma}{1-s}(dv)_+\right)^2 \\ &\quad -D_m\sigma D^m\sigma - \frac{1}{2}D_{IJ}D^{IJ}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$(F_\pm)_{\mu\nu} \equiv F_{\mu\nu} \pm \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}F^{\alpha\beta}, \tag{4.13}$$

and $(dv)_{\mu\nu} = D_\mu v_\nu$ which is antisymmetric. Here we have used

$$(D_\mu v_\nu)^2 + \frac{s}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}(D_\mu v_\nu)(D_\alpha v_\beta) = 4\, t_{IJ}t^{IJ}(1-s^2), \tag{4.14}$$

and other identities follows from the Fierz identities which are summarized in the Appendix.

The saddle points of (4.12) are

$$D_m\sigma = 0,\ \ D_{IJ} = 0,\ \ F_{\mu 5} = 0, \tag{4.15}$$

and for $s \neq \pm 1$

$$(F_{\mu\nu})_\pm + \frac{\sigma}{1 \mp s}(D_\mu v_\nu)_\pm = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

This implies $D_5 F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and

$$\sigma\, d\left(\left(\frac{1}{1-s^2}\right) dv + s * dv\right) = 0, \tag{4.17}$$

from the Bianchi identity. We can check that this implies

$$\sigma = 0,\ \ \text{and then } F_{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{4.18}$$

Only the Wilson line for x^5, i.e. constant part of $A_5 = a$ in a gauge choice, remains as a moduli for $s^2 \neq 1$. Note that a is periodic: $a \cdot H_i \sim a \cdot H_i + \frac{1}{R}$ where $\{H_i\}$ is a basis of the Cartan algebra. Except this periodicity, the saddle points for the theory on $S^4 \times S^1$ are same as the ones for the theory on the S^4.

Next, we consider the localization of the hypermultiplets. The SUSY transformation for the fermion in the hypermultiplets is

$$\delta\psi \;\;=\;\; \epsilon^{IJ}\Gamma^m \xi_I D_m q_J + i\epsilon^{IJ}\xi_I \sigma q_J + \epsilon^{I'J'}\check{\xi}_{I'} F_{J'}. - 2t^{IJ}\Gamma_5 \xi_I q_J, \tag{4.19}$$

For positivity of the action of the hypermultiplets, we have assumed that F is "pure imaginary", q is "real". With the rotation of the contours for $\sigma, D_{IJ}, F_{J'}$, we find

$$(\delta\psi)^\dagger \;\;=\;\; \xi_I C\Gamma_5\Gamma^m D_m q_I \Omega + i\xi_I C\Gamma_5 q^I \Omega\sigma - \check{\xi}_{I'} C\Gamma_5 F^{J'}\Omega \;\; + 2t^{IJ}\xi_I C q_J \Omega \tag{4.20}$$

The regulator Lagrangian for the localization will be δV_{hyper} where

$$V_{hyper} \;\;=\;\; (\delta\psi)^\dagger \psi\ \ . \tag{4.21}$$

Then, the bosonic part of the regulator Lagrangian is $\delta V_{hyper}|_{bos} = (\delta\psi)^\dagger \delta\psi$ which becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \delta V_{hyper}|_{bos} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJ} D_m \bar{q}_I D_m q_J + w^{5mn}_{IJ} D_m \tilde{q}^I D_n q^J - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{I'J'} \bar{F}_{I'} F_{J'} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJ} \bar{q}_I \sigma^2 q_J \\ &\quad - t^{IJ} t_{IJ} \epsilon^{KL} \bar{q}_K q_L + 2i w^{5\mu}_{IJ} \bar{q}^I \sigma D_\mu q^J - 2v^\mu t^{IJ} \bar{q}_I D_\mu q_J - 2ist^{IJ} \bar{q}_I \sigma q_J, \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

where

$$w^{5mn}_{IJ} = \xi_I \Gamma^{5mn} \xi_J. \tag{4.23}$$

Here we have two inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;\leq\; & |\epsilon^{IJ} \Gamma^m \xi_I D_m q_J|^2 = \xi_I C \Gamma_5 \Gamma^m D_m q_I \Omega \epsilon^{IJ} \Gamma^m \xi_I D_m q_J \\ & = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJ} D_m \bar{q}_I D_m q_J + w^{5mn}_{IJ} D_m \bar{q}^I D_n q^J, \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

and $0 \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJ} D_m \bar{q}_I D_m q_J$. Using

$$w^{5\mu\nu}_{IJ} D_\mu \bar{q}^I D_\nu q^J = i\frac{1}{2} w^{5\mu\nu}_{IJ} \bar{q}^I F_{\mu\nu} q^J - 3t_{IJ} \bar{q}^I D_\nu q^J v^\mu, \tag{4.25}$$

which is valid up to a total divergence term, we can show

$$\begin{aligned} \delta V_{hyper}|_{bos} &= \frac{1}{6}\epsilon^{IJ} D_m \bar{q}_I D_m q_J + \frac{5}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{IJ} D_m \bar{q}_I D_m q_J + w^{5mn}_{IJ} D_m \tilde{q}^I D_n q^J\right) - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{I'J'} \bar{F}_{I'} F_{J'} \\ &\quad - t^{IJ} t_{IJ} \epsilon^{KL} \bar{q}_K q_L \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

at the saddle points of the vectormultiplets. Because this is written as a sum of positive definite terms, we conclude

$$q^I = 0, \quad F^I = 0, \tag{4.27}$$

for the hypermultiplets at the saddle points. Thus, both of the saddle points of vectormultiplets and hypermultiplets essentially coincide with the one for S^4 [2] [20].

In order to compute the 1-loop determinant for the regulator Lagrangian, we need to first fix the gauge. This can be done following [2]. However, our SUSY transformation for $S^4 \times S^1$ is closer to the one in [20]. Thus, it is more convenient to closely follow [20]. As in [20], we introduce the BRST transformation Q_B for the field in the vectormultiplets as the usual one with ghost field c and define $Q_B c = icc + a_0$ where a_0 is constant. We also define

$$Qc = -\Phi \equiv -(-s\sigma + v^m A_m), \tag{4.28}$$

where $Q = \delta_\xi$. We need to introduce other ghosts and their transformation rules:

$$\begin{aligned} & Q_B a_0 = Q a_0 = 0, \quad Q_B \bar{c} = B, \; Q\bar{c} = 0, \quad Q_B B = i[a_0, \bar{c}], \; QB = i\mathcal{L}_v \bar{c}, \\ & Q_B \bar{a}_0 = \bar{c}_0, \; Q\bar{a}_0 = 0, \quad Q_B \bar{c}_0 = i[a_0, \bar{a}_0], \; Q\bar{c}_0 = 0, \\ & Q_B B_0 = c_0, \; QB_0 = 0, \quad Q_B c_0 = i[a_0, B_0], \; Qc_0 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

For the gauge fixing, we introduce $\hat{Q} = Q + Q_B$ and and take the regulator Lagrangian as

$$\hat{Q}(V + V_{GF}), \quad V_{GF} = \mathrm{tr}(\bar{c}G + \bar{c}B_0 + c\bar{a}_0) \tag{4.30}$$

where

$$G = i\partial_m A^m + i\mathcal{L}_v(\Phi - A_5) = i\partial_\mu A^\mu + i\mathcal{L}_{v'}(\Phi - A_5) + i\partial_5\Phi, \tag{4.31}$$

where v' is the S^4 part of v. Here we have taken this gauge fixing function, which is slightly different from the one used in [20], in order to fix the gauge symmetry related to x^5 direction. The saddle point of the vectormultiplets is unchanged and Other bosonic field vanish at saddle points except $a_0 = A_5$ which is from $\hat{Q}c = 0$.

We introduce

$$\Psi = Q\sigma = i\xi_I\lambda^I, \quad \Psi_\mu = QA_\mu = i\xi_I\Gamma_\mu\lambda^I, \quad \Xi_{IJ} = \xi_I\lambda_J + \xi_J\lambda_I, \tag{4.32}$$

which means

$$\lambda_I = -i\Gamma_5\xi_I\Psi - \frac{i}{4}\Gamma^{\mu 5}\xi_I\Psi_\mu + \Gamma_5\xi^J\Xi_{IJ}. \tag{4.33}$$

Then, the fields are classified by boson-fermion and $\hat{Q}$-doublet:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (\sigma, A_\mu, \bar{a}_0, B_0), \quad \Xi = (\Xi_{IJ}, \bar{c}, c) \\ \hat{Q}X &= (\Psi, \Psi_\mu + D_\mu c, \bar{c}_0, c_0), \ \ \hat{Q}\Xi = (-w_{IJ}^{mn}F_{mn} - sD_{IJ} - 2t_{IJ}\sigma, B, a_0 - \Phi) \end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

where we have neglected higher order terms expect ones including muduli a_0 and A_5. In terms of these, we can rewrite

$$V + V_{GF} = (\hat{Q}X, \Xi)\begin{pmatrix} D_{00} & D_{01} \\ D_{10} & D_{11} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} X \\ \hat{Q}\Xi \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.35}$$

Then, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi D_{10}X + \Xi D_{11}\hat{Q}\Xi = \left(\frac{1}{2}w_{IJ}^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - w_{IJ}^{5\mu}F_{5\mu} + \frac{1}{2}sD_{IJ} - t_{IJ}\sigma\right)\Xi^{IJ} \\ +\frac{i}{4}\left(-v_\nu F^{\nu\mu} + v^5F^{5\mu} - sD^\mu\sigma + 2w_{IJ}^{5\mu}t^{IJ}\sigma\right)D_\mu c + \bar{c}G + \bar{c}B_0 + c\bar{a}_0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

This D_{10} is different from the one for the round S^4 in [20] only by the terms $\partial_5 A_\mu$. Then, the principal symbol of D_{10} is modified. However, if we Fourier-expand S^1-direction and think that the theory is on S^4 with the Kaluza-Klein towers, we can see that the principal symbol of D_{10} is same as the one for S^4 because ∂_5 is regarded as a constant. Therefore we can apply the index theorem for the transversally elliptic operator to each KK momentum. The $Q^2 = (\delta_\xi)^2$ is modified by replacing $[a_0, *]$ to $D_5(*) = \partial_5(*) - i[a_0, *]$ except for $B_o, \bar{a}_0$ which have zero mode only. Therefore, the 1-loop determinant is just given by the product of the one for the vectormultiplets on S^4 for the KK tower with replacement of $a_0 \cdot \alpha$ to $\frac{n}{R}$ where α is the root of the gauge group and the n is the integer KK momentum.

For the hypermultiplets, the auxiliary fields can be integrated out trivially. The D_{10} is modified only by adding term like $D_0 q$ which is not a leading term in the symbol. Thus, for the hypermultiplets the 1-loop determinant is obtained from the one for the theory on S^4 as for the vectormultiplet.

Finally, the instanton contribution at $s = \pm 1$, i.e. at the north and south pole of S^4, is expected to be the Nekrasov's partition function $Z_{inst}(a_0, \epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{l}, \epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{l}, \tau = 0, \beta = R)$ for 5D space. Therefore, by rescaling $a_0 \to a_0/l$ our final expression for the partition function on $S^4 \times S^1$ obtained form [2] [20] is

$$Z_{S^4 \times S^1} = \int da_0 |Z_{inst}| \prod_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \Upsilon\left(ia_0 \cdot \alpha + i\frac{l}{R}k\right) \Upsilon\left(-ia_0 \cdot \alpha - i\frac{l}{R}k\right)}{\prod_{\rho \in R} \Upsilon\left(ia_0 \cdot \rho + \frac{Q}{2} + i\frac{l}{R}k\right)} \tag{4.37}$$

where R is the representation of the hypermultiplets under the gauge group, ρ is a weight of R and $Q = b + \frac{1}{b}$ with $b = 1$. Here, according to [20], we used the function $\Upsilon(x)$ to express the regularized infinite product.

The integration variables a_0 is periodic, i.e. $a_0 \cdot H_i \sim a_0 \cdot H_i + \frac{l}{R}$, and the integrand of (4.37) is indeed periodic under this. Taking $R \to 0$ limit, (4.37) reduces to the partition function for the theory on S^4 [2] [20] with a numerical factor. We have introduced the mass term which affects the SUSY transformation by $\langle A_5 \rangle$. This may also enter the expression of the partition function with some shift of the mass [24].

5 Conclusion

In this paper we have constructed supersymmetric gauge theory on $S^4 \times S^1$. We have found there is no analogue of the usual Yang-Mills action except in the 4D limit. It should be noted that We have applied the localization technique to the partition function of the theory on $S^4 \times S^1$ following [2] [20] and find the result is a simple extension of the corresponding partition function on S^4 with the contributions Kaluza-Klein modes.

To extend our work to the ellipsoid [20] will be straightforward. This will give the result with $b \neq 1$. In this paper, we only computed the partition function. Of course, it is interesting to compute the Wilson loop, the 't Hooft loop [25] and other operators.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank K. Hosomichi for useful discussions and crucial comments on many important points on the paper. I would also like to thank Y. Imamura, Y. Tachikawa and D. Yokoyama for useful discussions. The work of S. T. is partly supported by the Japan Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), and by the Grant-in-Aid for the Global COE program "The Next Generation of Physics, Spun from Universality and Emergence" from the MEXT.

Note added:

As this article neared completion, we became aware of the very interesting preprint [26] where the 5d superconformal index on $S^1 \times S^1$ was calculated and the enhancement of global symmetry was checked. The part of their result concerning the SUSY transformation for the vectormultiplets and the localization computations presumably coincide with ours.

A Formula for bilinears of Killing spinors

We can show some relations between the bilinears of Killing spinors [13]. Here we present them in the form valid for any 5d space. First,

$$\Gamma_m \xi_I \cdot v^m = s \xi_I \quad , \tag{A.1}$$

which implies

$$v_m v^m = s^2. \tag{A.2}$$

Others including w^{mn}_{IJ} are

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -w_{mnIJ}\, w^{mn}{}_{KL} + s^2 \left(\epsilon_{IJ}\epsilon_{KL} + 2\epsilon_{IL}\epsilon_{JK}\right) \quad , && \text{(A.3)} \\ 0 &= 2s\left(\epsilon_{IJ}\epsilon_{KL} + 2\epsilon_{IL}\epsilon_{JK}\right) v^p + 2v_m \left(\epsilon_{IJ} w^{pm}{}_{KL} - \epsilon_{KL} w^{pm}{}_{IJ}\right) \\ &\quad + \epsilon^{pmnqr} w_{mnIJ} w_{qrKL} \quad , && \text{(A.4)} \\ 0 &= s\left(8\epsilon_{JK} w^{pq}{}_{LI} - 2\epsilon_{JI} w^{pq}{}_{LK} - 2\epsilon_{LK} w^{pq}{}_{JI}\right) \\ &\quad + \epsilon_{JI} v_m \epsilon^{pqmrs} w_{rsLK} + \epsilon_{LK} v_m \epsilon^{pqmrs} w_{rsJI} \\ &\quad - 4\left(w^{qn}{}_{JI} w^{p}{}_{nLK} - w^{pn}{}_{JI} w^{q}{}_{nLK}\right) \quad . && \text{(A.5)} \end{aligned}$$

These implies

$$0 = v_m w^{pm}{}_{KL} \quad , \tag{A.6}$$

$$0 = 2s w^{pq}{}_{IJ} + v_m \epsilon^{pqrsm} w_{rsIJ} \quad , \tag{A.7}$$

$$0 = w^{mn}{}_{KI} w_{mnJ}{}^{I} + 3s^2 \epsilon_{JK} \quad , \tag{A.8}$$

$$0 = 6\epsilon_{JK} s v^p - \epsilon^{pmnqr} w_{mnIJ} w_{qrK}{}^{I} \quad , \tag{A.9}$$

$$0 = -2s w^{qp}{}_{JK} + \epsilon^{IL}\left(w^{qn}{}_{IJ} w^{p}{}_{nLK} + w^{qn}{}_{IK} w^{p}{}_{nLJ}\right) \quad , \tag{A.10}$$

$$\epsilon^{JL}(w^{pm}{}_{IJ} w^{q}{}_{mKL} + w^{qm}{}_{IJ} w^{p}{}_{mKL}) = \frac{3}{2}\epsilon_{IK}(s^2 g^{pq} - v^p v^q) \quad , \tag{A.11}$$

$$\epsilon^{KL} w^{pm}{}_{IK} w^{q}{}_{mJL} = s w^{pq}{}_{IJ} + \frac{3}{4}\left(s^2 g^{pq} - v^p v^q\right)\epsilon_{IJ} \quad . \tag{A.12}$$

References

[1] N. A. Nekrasov, “Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting,” Adv.

[2] V. Pestun, “Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops,” arXiv:0712.2824 [hep-th].

[3] A. Kapustin, B. Willett and I. Yaakov, “Exact Results for Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Matter,” JHEP **1003**, 089 (2010) [arXiv:0909.4559 [hep-th]].

[4] D. L. Jafferis, “The Exact Superconformal R-Symmetry Extremizes Z,” arXiv:1012.3210 [hep-th].

[5] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi and S. Lee, “Notes on SUSY Gauge Theories on Three-Sphere,” JHEP **1103**, 127 (2011) [arXiv:1012.3512 [hep-th]].

[6] N. Hama, K. Hosomichi and S. Lee, “SUSY Gauge Theories on Squashed Three-Spheres,” JHEP **1105**, 014 (2011) [arXiv:1102.4716 [hep-th]].

[7] Y. Imamura, “Relation between the 4d superconformal index and the S^3 partition function,” JHEP **1109** (2011) 133 [arXiv:1104.4482 [hep-th]].

[8] Y. Imamura and D. Yokoyama, “N=2 supersymmetric theories on squashed three-sphere,” Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 025015 [arXiv:1109.4734 [hep-th]].

[9] F. Benini and S. Cremonesi, “Partition functions of N=(2,2) gauge theories on S^2 and vortices,” arXiv:1206.2356 [hep-th].

[10] H. -Y. Chen, T. J. Hollowood and P. Zhao, “A 5d/3d duality from relativistic integrable system,” arXiv:1205.4230 [hep-th].

[11] K. Ohta and Y. Yoshida, “Non-Abelian Localization for Supersymmetric Yang-Mills-Chern-Simons Theories on Seifert Manifold,” arXiv:1205.0046 [hep-th].

[12] H. -C. Kim, S. Kim, E. Koh, K. Lee and S. Lee, “On instantons as Kaluza-Klein modes of M5-branes,” JHEP **1112** (2011) 031 [arXiv:1110.2175 [hep-th]].

[13] K. Hosomichi, R. -K. Seong and S. Terashima, “Supersymmetric Gauge Theories on the Five-Sphere,” arXiv:1203.0371 [hep-th].

[14] J. Kallen and M. Zabzine, “Twisted supersymmetric 5D Yang-Mills theory and contact geometry,” arXiv:1202.1956 [hep-th].

[15] M. Wolf, “Contact Manifolds, Contact Instantons, and Twistor Geometry,” arXiv:1203.3423 [hep-th].

[16] J. Kallen, J. Qiu and M. Zabzine,

[17] H. -C. Kim and S. Kim, “M5-branes from gauge theories on the 5-sphere,” arXiv:1206.6339 [hep-th].

[18] T. Kawano and N. Matsumiya, “5D SYM on 3D Sphere and 2D YM,” arXiv:1206.5966 [hep-th].

[19] J. Kallen, J. Qiu and M. Zabzine, "The perturbative partition function of supersymmetric 5D Yang-Mills theory with matter on the five-sphere," arXiv:1206.6008 [hep-th].

[20] N. Hama and K. Hosomichi, "Seiberg-Witten Theories on Ellipsoids," arXiv:1206.6359 [hep-th].

[21] N. Drukker, M. Marino and P. Putrov, "From weak to strong coupling in ABJM theory," Commun. Math. Phys. **306**, 511 (2011) [arXiv:1007.3837 [hep-th]].

[22] G. Festuccia and N. Seiberg, "Rigid Supersymmetric Theories in Curved Superspace," JHEP **1106**, 114 (2011) [arXiv:1105.0689 [hep-th]].

[23] T. Kugo and K. Ohashi, "Off-shell D = 5 supergravity coupled to matter Yang-Mills system," Prog. Theor. Phys. **105**, 323 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0010288]; "Supergravity tensor calculus in 5-D from 6-D," Prog. Theor. Phys. **104** (2000) 835 [hep-ph/0006231].

[24] T. Okuda and V. Pestun, "On the instantons and the hypermultiplet mass of N=2* super Yang-Mills on S^4," JHEP **1203** (2012) 017 [arXiv:1004.1222 [hep-th]].

[25] J. Gomis, T. Okuda and V. Pestun, "Exact Results for 't Hooft Loops in Gauge Theories on S^4," JHEP **1205** (2012) 141 [arXiv:1105.2568 [hep-th]].

[26] H. -C. Kim, S. -S. Kim and K. Lee, "5-dim Superconformal Index with Enhanced En Global Symmetry," arXiv:1206.6781 [hep-th].